



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

**COPY MAILED**

**APR 27 2009**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:
Larry Lapanashvili, et. al.	:
Application No. 10/578,585	: DECISION ON PETITIONS
Filed: March 2, 2007	: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Attorney Docket No. 081553-000000US	:

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed July 31, 2008 and supplemented on August 12, 2008, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed nonprovisional and PCT applications, as set forth in the concurrently filed Application Data Sheet (ADS).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

All of the above requirements having been satisfied, the late claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

**The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed applications under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that the application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications. In order for the application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed applications should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.**

This application is being forwarded to the examiner of Technology Center Art Unit 3762 for consideration of applicant's entitlement to claim benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) to the prior-filed applications.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/Andrea M. Smith/  
Andrea Smith  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions